

# REDSTAR GOLD CORP.

## Management's Discussion & Analysis

FORM 51-102F1

For the Year Ended  
March 31, 2012

### Contents

Cautionary Notices.....	2
Introduction .....	2
Qualified Person .....	2
Conversion Tables .....	3
Overall Performance .....	3
Selected annual information .....	4
Summary of Quarterly Results.....	6
Financial Condition of the Company.....	8
Mineral Properties of the Company .....	8
Liquidity.....	20
Capital Resources.....	21
Off balance Sheet Transactions .....	21
Transactions with Related Parties .....	21
Proposed Transactions.....	22
Transition to IFRS.....	22
Change of Auditors .....	23
Changes in Accounting Policies .....	23
Disclosure Controls and Procedures .....	27
Risks Related to the Company's Business.....	27
Other MD&A Disclosure Requirements .....	30
Outstanding Share Data .....	30

## **Cautionary Notices**

*The Company’s consolidated financial statements for the year ending March 31, 2012, and this accompanying Management’s Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) contain statements that constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of National Instrument 51-102, Continuous Disclosure Obligations of the Canadian Securities Administrators. Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as “seek”, “anticipate”, “believe”, “plan”, “estimate”, “expect”, “targeting” and “intend” and statements that an event or result “may”, “will”, “should”, “could”, or “might” occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this MD&A include statements regarding the Company’s future exploration plans and expenditures, the satisfaction of rights and performance of obligations under agreements to which the Company is a part, the ability of the Company to hire and retain employees and consultants and estimated administrative assessment and other expenses. The forward-looking statements that are contained in this MD&A involve a number of risks and uncertainties. As a consequence, actual results might differ materially from results forecast or suggested in these forward-looking statements. Some of these risks and uncertainties are identified under the heading “Risks and Uncertainties Related to the Company’s Business” in this MD&A. Additional information regarding these factors and other important factors that could cause results to differ materially may be referred to as part of particular forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the important factors discussed under the heading “Risks and Uncertainties Related to the Company’s Business” and to those that may be discussed as part of particular forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause the actual results to differ include market prices, exploration success, continued availability of capital and financing, inability to obtain required regulatory approvals and general market conditions. These statements are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions regarding general market conditions, the timing and receipt of regulatory approvals, the ability of the Company and other relevant parties to satisfy regulatory requirements, the availability of financing for proposed transactions and programs on reasonable terms and the ability of third-party service providers to deliver services in a timely manner. Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and the Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.*

## **Introduction**

The following MD&A of the financial position of Redstar Gold Corp. (“Redstar” or the “Company”) and results of operations of the Company should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, including the notes thereto.

The accompanying audited consolidated financial statements and related notes are presented in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles which were revised to incorporate International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). The Company’s accounting policies are described in note 3 of the March 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements. These statements, together with this MD&A dated July 17, 2012 (“Report Date”), are intended to provide investors with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company as well as potential future performance. The information in this document may contain forward-looking statements. Please refer to the cautionary notices within this MD&A, especially in regard to forward looking statements. Unless otherwise indicated, all amounts in this document are in Canadian dollars.

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

## **Qualified Person**

Dr. Jacob Margolis is the qualified person under National Instrument 43-101 responsible for the technical information included in this MD&A and the supervision of work done in association with the exploration and development programs in respect of the Company’s Nevada properties, and, together with Bob Singh, the Alaska properties.

Bob Singh, P. Geo., is the qualified person under National Instrument 43-101 responsible for the technical information included in this MD&A and the supervision of work done in association with the exploration and development programs in respect of the Company’s Canadian properties, and, together with Dr. Jacob Margolis, the Alaska properties.

### Conversion Tables

For ease of reference, the following information is provided (www.onlineconversion.com):

Conversion Table			
Imperial			Metric
1 Acre	=	0.404686	Hectares
1 Foot	=	0.304800	Metres
1 Mile	=	1.609344	Kilometres
1 Ton	=	0.907185	Tonnes
1 Ounce (troy)/ton	=	34.285700	Grams/Tonne

Precious metal units and conversion factors					
ppb	- Part per billion	1	ppb	=	0.0010 ppm = 0.000030 oz/t
ppm	- Part per million	100	ppb	=	0.1000 ppm = 0.002920 oz/t
oz	- Ounce (troy)	10,000	ppb	=	10.0000 ppm = 0.291670 oz/t
oz/t	- Ounce per ton (avdp.)	1	ppm	=	1.0000 ug/g = 1.000000 g/tonne
g	- Gram				
g/tonne	- gram per metric ton	1	oz/t	=	34.2857 ppm
mg	- milligram	1	Carat	=	41.6660 mg/g
kg	- kilogram	1	ton (avdp.)	=	907.1848 kg
ug	- microgram	1	oz (troy)	=	31.1035 g

### Overall Performance

The Company is a mineral exploration organization engaged in the exploration and subsequent development of prospective mineral targets in Alaska and Nevada, USA and in the Red Lake region of northwestern Ontario, Canada.

Activity of the Company is generally dependent on the sources of capital and access to funding in the capital markets. The Company successfully maintains its business model as a “property generator” with active programs on its properties. A more detailed review of activities on the individual properties is covered under a separate section of this MD&A.

#### Mineral Properties

On June 14, 2011, the Company entered into a lease agreement with Newmont USA Limited, a subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation (“Newmont”), in respect of the Baker Property.

On June 6, 2011, the Company entered into an option agreement with Brocade Metals Corp. (“Brocade”), in respect of the Root Spring Property.

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Shumagin Project.

On June 9, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire 60% of the vendor’s interest in the Unga-Popof Property.

Centerra (U.S.) Inc. advised the Company that it was withdrawing from the agreement pertaining to the Company’s Oasis property.

### Securities

On July 14, 2011, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 12,917,999 units at a price of \$0.35 per unit for gross proceeds of \$4,521,300. Each unit consists of one common share and one half of one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each share purchase warrant allows for the purchase of one additional common share of the Company at \$0.60 per common share until July 14, 2013. In connection with the private placement, the Company paid finders’ fees in cash of \$244,665 and other expenditures of \$36,723, and issued 699,043 share purchase warrants valued at \$42,781. The warrants have an accelerated expiry provision, such that four months after the warrants are issued, the Company may give notice that said warrants will expire thirty days from the date of the notice, unless previously exercised by the purchaser, provided that for a period of ten consecutive trading days the weighted average closing price of the Company’s common shares on the Exchange exceeds \$0.90 per share.

During the year, the Company granted incentive stock options allowing for the purchase of up to, in the aggregate, 1,250,000 shares in the capital of the Company, as to 250,000 shares at \$0.41 per share until August 11, 2016, 500,000 shares at \$0.50 per share until September 7, 2016 and 500,000 shares at \$0.53 per share until September 30, 2016.

During the year, the Company issued a total of 235,000 common shares on the exercise of stock options for gross proceeds of \$35,250, and 1,132,875 common shares valued at \$500,000 in connection with the acquisition of the Shumagin Property.

### Other

In August, 2011, the Company retained, subject to regulatory approval, Doug McKay & Associates to provide investor relations services to the Company for a period of six months. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the Company granted incentive stock options to purchase up to, in the aggregate, 250,000 common shares of the company’s capital at \$0.41 per share until August 11, 2016 and 100,000 common shares of the Company’s capital at \$0.53 per share until September 30, 2016

In September, 2011, Jeff Pontius joined the Company’s Board of Directors. Mr. Pontius has distinguished track record of successful discoveries and is President and CEO of International Tower Hill Mines Ltd. He holds a Master’s Degree from the University of Idaho (Economic Geology), a B.Sc. from Huxley College of Environmental Studies (Environmental Science) and a B.Sc. from Western Washington University (Geology).

In December, 2011, Mr. Ray Millaney resigned as a Member of the Board of Directors.

### **Selected annual information**

Selected annual information from the Company’s three most recently completed financial years is summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2012 \$	March 31, 2011 \$	March 31, 2010 \$
Net loss (gain) and comprehensive loss (gain) for the year	1,880,273	767,913	(30,419)
Basic loss per share	0.03	0.01	0.00
Total assets	5,759,917	2,640,132	3,191,080
Long-term financial liabilities	-	-	-
Cash dividends declared	-	-	-

The following table sets forth a comparison of information for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	<u>Year ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>
<b>Expenses</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>
Contract wages	373,540	360,334	13,206
Travel and promotion	257,326	157,471	99,855
Investor Relations	161,280	88,920	72,360
Share-based payments	413,275	245,829	167,446
Rent	106,157	89,935	16,222
Office and miscellaneous	47,947	38,932	9,015
Insurance	34,423	36,201	(1,778)
Professional fees	135,002	37,229	97,773
Consulting	87,200	27,200	60,000
Telephone	9,509	8,579	930
Regulatory fees	28,802	10,769	18,033
Transfer agent fees	7,548	5,751	1,797
Interest and financing	2,126	-	2,126
Amortization	14,829	18,025	(3,196)
	<u>1,678,964</u>	<u>1,125,175</u>	<u>553,789</u>
<b>Other expenses (income)</b>			
Interest income	(10,121)	(286)	(9,835)
Debt forgiveness	-	(2,146)	2,146
Gain on sale of marketable securities	(16,064)	(393,790)	377,726
Property interests written off and settlement on property abandonment costs	171,732	61,990	109,742
Loss (gain) on foreign exchange	14,763	2,869	11,894
	<u>160,310</u>	<u>(331,363)</u>	<u>491,673</u>
Loss before income tax	1,839,274	793,812	1,045,462
Future income tax recovery	10,812	19,600	(8,788)
Net loss for the year	<u>1,850,086</u>	<u>813,412</u>	<u>1,036,674</u>
Unrealised loss (gain) on available-for-sale securities	30,187	(45,499)	75,686
Comprehensive loss for the year	<u>1,880,273</u>	<u>767,913</u>	<u>1,112,360</u>

### Net Loss

The Company has no revenue from mineral sales, and losses are mainly costs associated with management for the Company. Losses are reduced from time to time by any gain on disposition of a property, which could include marketable securities received as payment.

The net loss for the year ended March 31, 2012 was \$1,850,086 compared to \$813,412 for the year ended March 31, 2011 due in large part to the reduction of income on the sale of marketable securities. For the year ended March 31, 2012, operating expenses increased by approximately 50%, as to \$1,678,964 compared with \$1,125,175 for the year ended March 31, 2011. Included in the totals is a non-cash expense for share-based payments. Share-based payments is a non-cash item, resulting from the application of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model using assumptions in respect of expected dividend yield average risk-free interest rates, expected life of the options and expected volatility. This represents the fair value determined under the Black-Scholes model of the vested portion of existing options during the year, which was allocated to the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, as to \$413,275 in fiscal 2012 and \$245,829 in 2011. After deducting this non cash item, expenses were \$1,265,689 and \$879,346 for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively. This is an increase of \$386,343 or approximately 44%. Other variances are discussed below.

### Contract wages, Rent, Office and miscellaneous, and Consulting

The Company is party to an agreement with a company in which a director of the Company is a shareholder. Pursuant to this agreement, the Company is charged, on a cost sharing basis, for general office and administrative services, office space, and geological services used by the Company. For the year ended March 31, 2012, contract wages remained relatively unchanged, while

consulting increased due to the Company's shift to using certain management services on a consultancy basis. Rent and office expenses increased slightly in relation to the Company's activities.

#### Travel and promotion

Travel and promotion costs are a function of management's decisions on where, and how, to best advance the Company's strategic plans. For the year ended March 31, 2012, management of the Company travelled to review potential property acquisitions in various parts of the United States, including Alaska, and to investigate financing for the Company, resulting in higher transportation costs as compared with the year ended March 31, 2011.

#### Investor Relations

Investor relations activities are carried out by independent consulting firms on a contracted basis with terms and fees common to the industry. For the year ended March 31, 2012, the Company used more investor relations firms than in the past, resulting in an increase in overall costs.

#### Professional Fees

The Company incurred an increase in legal and accounting fees during the year ended March 31, 2012, as a result of the formation of its subsidiary, Redstar Gold (Alaska) Inc., and latent costs in relation to the acquisition of the Shumagin and Unga-Popof Properties.

#### Expenses and Other Income

During the year ended March 31, 2012, the Company earned interest income of \$10,121 as compared with \$286 the year ended March 31, 2011, related to cash balances available for placement. A significant variance can result from the disposition of marketable securities for cash proceeds.

### **Summary of Quarterly Results**

The selected consolidated information set out below has been gathered from the current and previous seven quarterly financial statements for each respective financial period and reflect the impacts of the Company's adoption of IFRS (in Canadian dollars):

	<b>Income (Loss)</b>	<b>Net Income (Loss)</b>	<b>Revenue</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>per Share</b>	<b>\$</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	
March 31, 2012	(674,495)	(0.01)	
December 31, 2011	(287,797)	(0.01)	-
September 30, 2011	(514,099)	(0.01)	-
June 30, 2011	(373,695)	(0.01)	-
March 31, 2011	(335,932)	(0.01)	-
December 31, 2010	(191,705)	0.00	-
September 30, 2010	(231,000)	0.00	-
June 30, 2010	(54,775)	0.00	-

The following tables set forth a comparison of information for the eight quarters ending with March 31, 2012:

<b>Expenses</b>	<b>March 31, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 31, 2011</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>
Contract wages	110,856	89,028	87,928	85,728
Travel and promotion	44,715	73,461	74,585	64,565
Investor Relations	53,760	52,640	36,400	18,480
Share-based payments	79,468	32,698	242,747	58,362
Rent	36,871	21,503	26,899	20,884
Office and miscellaneous	15,211	12,286	10,136	10,314
Insurance	8,806	8,824	7,695	9,098
Professional fees	43,900	14,946	10,325	65,831
Consulting	27,800	27,800	17,300	14,300
Telephone	1,844	2,288	3,397	1,980
Regulatory fees	8,102	1,173	6,430	13,097
Transfer agent fees	1,200	2,422	2,285	1,641
Interest and financing	-	-	771	1,355
Amortization	3,969	3,968	3,449	3,443
	<u>436,502</u>	<u>343,037</u>	<u>530,347</u>	<u>369,078</u>
<b>Other expenses (income)</b>				
Interest income	(668)	(4,803)	(4,498)	(152)
Debt forgiveness	-	-	-	-
Gain on sale of marketable securities	-	-	-	(16,064)
Property interests written off and settlement on property abandonment costs	168,482	-	-	3,250
Loss (gain) on foreign exchange	70,367	(53,312)	(12,500)	10,208
	<u>238,181</u>	<u>(58,115)</u>	<u>(16,998)</u>	<u>(2,758)</u>
Loss before income tax	674,683	284,922	513,349	366,320
Future income tax recovery	(188)	2,875	750	7,375
Net loss for the quarter	<u>674,495</u>	<u>287,797</u>	<u>514,099</u>	<u>373,695</u>

<b>Expenses</b>	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>September 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2010</b>
Contract wages	89,718	102,168	99,792	68,656
Travel and promotion	47,503	-	23,611	86,357
Investor Relations	18,480	15,643	18,480	36,317
Share-based payments	62,274	129,533	18,347	35,675
Rent	26,274	20,114	23,348	20,199
Office and miscellaneous	7,396	10,101	10,825	10,610
Insurance	7,753	7,703	7,090	13,655
Professional fees	1,960	-	34,986	283
Consulting	11,300	5,300	5,300	5,300
Telephone	2,519	2,242	2,170	1,648
Regulatory fees	6,500	(1,938)	6,207	-
Transfer agent fees	1,186	1,845	1,588	1,132
Amortization	4,506	4,506	4,506	4,507
	<u>287,369</u>	<u>297,217</u>	<u>256,250</u>	<u>284,339</u>
<b>Other expenses (income)</b>				
Interest income	(264)	(17)	(5)	-
Debt forgiveness	(2,146)	-	-	-
Gain on sale of marketable securities	-	(108,618)	(44,443)	(240,729)
Property interests written off and settlement on property abandonment costs	55,860	(6,350)	12,480	-
Loss (gain) on foreign exchange	895	1,048	549	377
	<u>54,345</u>	<u>(113,937)</u>	<u>(31,419)</u>	<u>(240,352)</u>
Loss before income tax	341,714	183,280	224,831	43,987
Future income tax recovery	(5,782)	8,425	6,169	10,788
Net loss for the quarter	<u>335,932</u>	<u>191,705</u>	<u>231,000</u>	<u>54,775</u>

### Net Loss

The net loss for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 was \$674,495 as compared to \$335,932 for the comparative period ended March 31, 2011 due to an increase in overall administrative expenses, and property interests written off, of \$168,482 and \$55,860 respectively. Included in the administrative expenses is a non-cash expense for share-based payments. Share-based payments is a non-cash item, resulting from the application of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model using assumptions in respect of expected dividend yield average risk-free interest rates, expected life of the options and expected volatility. This represents the fair value determined under the Black-Scholes model of the vested portion of existing options during the quarter, which was allocated to the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, as to \$79,468 in the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and \$62,274 in quarter

ended March 31, 2011. After deducting this non cash item, expenses were \$357,034 and \$225,095 for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively. This is an increase of \$131,939 or approximately 59%. Other variances are discussed below.

Contract wages, Rent, Office and miscellaneous, and Consulting

The Company is party to an agreement with a company in which a director of the Company is a shareholder. Pursuant to this agreement, the Company is charged, on a cost sharing basis, for general office and administrative services, office space, and geological services used by the Company. For the quarter ended March 31, 2012, contract wages increased by approximately 24% from \$89,718 to \$110,856, while consulting increased by approximately 146% from \$11,300 to \$27,800 due to the Company's increased activity during the quarter. Rent and office expenses increased by approximately 40% and 106% respectively from \$26,274 to \$36,871 and from \$7,396 to \$15,211 respectively, due to the reallocation of space and services required by the company commensurate with activity.

Travel and promotion

Travel and promotion costs reduced by approximately 6% from \$47,503 to \$44,715 during the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively, due to market conditions.

Investor Relations

Investor relations activities are carried out by independent consulting firms on a contracted basis with terms and fees common to the industry. During the quarter ended March 31, 2012 the company expended \$53,760 as compared with \$18,480 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, for an increase of approximately 191%, resulting from the Company's use of external investor relations firms in response to market conditions.

Professional Fees

The Company incurred an increase of \$41,940 in legal and accounting fees during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 as compared with the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as a result of the cost of preparation of tax-related documents and audit and advisory services.

**Financial Condition of the Company**

The Company completed a financing during the current fiscal year. Consequently, as at March 31, 2012, the Company had current assets of \$543,411 (including funds of \$108,755), current liabilities of \$267,500 and a working capital of \$275,911, as compared with current assets of \$322,985 (including funds of \$77,946), current liabilities of \$410,380 and a working capital deficit of \$87,395 at March 31, 2011.

**Mineral Properties of the Company**

The Company has a number of projects at various stages of exploration and partnership or joint venture participation. The discussion below provides summary information in respect of the Company's mineral properties and their activity thereon. Refer to the Company's news releases filed on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com), for additional exploration results. The discussion on the properties in this document covers the period to date since the previous year end of March 31, 2011. MD&As previously filed on SEDAR cover prior periods and fiscal year ends. The commitments in respect of consideration to be paid or received on acquisition or disposition of the Company's properties, respectively, are detailed in the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, including the notes thereto.

Details of mineral properties follow for the year ended March 31, 2012:

	General	Newman Todd	Nevada General	Eagle Basin	Painted Hills	Richmond Summit	Root Spring	Cooks Creek	Oasis	Baker Spring	Seven Devils	Shumagin	Unga-Popof	Total
<b>Balance - April 1, 2011</b>	-	1,628,498	189,644	65,765	28,068	25,599	66,476	94,086	72,753	13,337	81,377	-	-	2,265,603
<b>Acquisition costs</b>														
Expenditures during the year	-	70,000	5,443	-	-	-	13,278	4,421	-	-	20,316	1,373,377	5,000	1,491,835
Recovered during the year	-	(97,000)	(5,005)	-	-	-	(63,388)	(4,487)	(25,923)	(5,005)	-	-	-	(200,808)
<b>Net acquisition costs</b>	-	(27,000)	438	-	-	-	(50,110)	(66)	(25,923)	(5,005)	20,316	1,373,377	5,000	1,291,027
<b>Exploration expenditures</b>														
Assaying	-	732,830	5,757	-	-	-	2,961	1,552	96,285	-	-	84,300	-	923,685
Consulting														
Geology	3,250	1,057,540	46,967	-	-	-	32,124	30,870	209,639	618	2,888	234,057	-	1,617,953
Geophysical	-	-	-	-	-	-	75,941	19,710	-	22,370	-	4,447	-	122,468
Geochemical	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,292	7,064	-	-	-	14,356
Contract labour	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,430	-	48,430
Camp and exploration support	-	236,804	6,830	-	-	-	289	19	15,799	-	-	675,477	-	935,218
Drilling	-	2,276,580	-	-	-	-	-	659	320,042	-	-	507,364	-	3,104,645
Land and tenure	-	97,000	24,262	5,578	11,905	10,851	15,984	38,567	41,423	35,391	9,191	1,021	-	291,173
Travel and accommodation	-	131,829	15,720	-	-	-	4,607	2,211	2,234	-	489	44,548	-	201,638
Equipment rental	-	399,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,874	-	428,938
Maps and reports	-	2,728	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,370	-	5,207
Reclamation	-	11,238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,238
Road access	-	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,200
	3,250	4,947,813	99,645	5,578	11,905	10,851	131,906	93,588	692,714	65,443	12,568	1,631,888	-	7,707,149
Exploration expenditures recovered	-	(4,944,722)	-	-	-	-	(131,744)	(92,976)	(686,378)	(64,825)	-	-	-	(5,920,645)
<b>Net exploration expenditures</b>	3,250	3,091	99,645	5,578	11,905	10,851	162	612	6,336	618	12,568	1,631,888	-	1,786,504
<b>Mineral exploration costs written off</b>	(3,250)	-	(168,482)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(171,732)
<b>Balance - March 31, 2012</b>	-	1,604,589	121,245	71,343	39,973	36,450	16,528	94,632	53,166	8,950	114,261	3,005,265	5,000	5,171,402

Nevada General includes: Queens, Opal Hill, Larus, Long Island, Black Hawk, and Gold Cloud

**Red Lake, Ontario, Canada**  
**Newman Todd Property**

In 2007, the Company acquired a 100% interest in the Newman Todd area properties. On November 2, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with Central Resources Corp. (“Central”) whereby Central had the option to earn up to a 60% undivided interest in the property. On November 15, 2010 Central terminated the option on the property. On November 19, 2010, the Company entered into an option agreement with Confederation Minerals Ltd. (“Confederation”) whereby Confederation can earn an initial 50% interest in the property by making cash payments to the Company, issuing shares in the capital of Confederation to the Company, and expending \$5,000,000 on the property. Confederation may increase its initial 50% interest in the property to 70% upon production of a Preliminary Economic Assessment by November 2016. In April, 2011 and on joint acquisition with Confederation, the Company acquired a 50% interest in 18 mineral claims adjacent to the Newman Todd project (the “Todd Property”), such that Confederation acquired an undivided 35% interest in the Todd Property (being 70% of vendor’s interest) and the Company acquired an undivided 15% interest in the Todd Property (being 30% of the

vendor's interest). Rubicon Minerals Corporation has retained the remaining 50% interest in the Todd Property.

During 2010, the Company announced that the main structural corridor, the Newman Todd Structural Zone ("NTS"), that hosts the high-grade gold zones had been traced for over two kilometres and to a depth of over 300 metres. A total of six drill holes were completed in 2010. All holes intersected the gold bearing structure. In particular, Hole NT-055 intersected 24.0 g/t gold over 1.0 metre (0.70 oz/t over 3.3 feet), and Hole NT-053 intersected 11.6 g/t gold over 0.50 metres (0.34 oz/t over 1.64 feet).

The Company and Confederation completed an initial first phase of drilling on the project, bringing the total historical and recent drilling to a total of 33 holes. All holes have intersected gold mineralization and very high grade results along a sheared and heavily altered trend (the Newman Todd Zone) have been intersected over approximately 2 kilometres of strike length. Based on these results, a very aggressive drill program is being implemented for the balance of 2011.

On June 20, 2011, the Company announced results from the on-going drill program at the Newman Todd property in the Red Lake Gold District, Ontario. Work is being funded by Confederation. The current work program includes 12,000 metres of drilling using two drill rigs. One drill is focused on following known mineralization with 25 to 50 metre step-outs; the other drill is testing the two kilometre strike of the Newman Todd Structure ("NTS") on 100 to 200 metre step-outs. Highlights from the recent results include a drill hole that returned 5.0 metres of 18.25 g/t gold, including 2.7 metres of 32.43 g/t gold.

Results from the first two holes of the current program continue to demonstrate the high-grade gold potential of the NTS. The two holes were significant step-outs from known mineralization and intersected numerous gold zones, including high-grade results within broader intervals of lower grade. These results from these holes are a further indication of the significant gold endowment of the Newman Todd Structure along its entire strike length. Drill hole NT-062 contained a cumulative width of gold mineralization averaging 1.65 g/t over 63 metres including the high-grade subintervals. The fact that high-grade gold mineralization has been intersected between two existing zones, is a strong indication that the NTS should be considered a single 2.0 km long gold bearing zone.

In November 2011, the Company reported on results from drill holes within the Hinge Zone, which continue to show wide spread high-grade gold mineralization within the central part of the NTS. Highlights from the recent results include holes that returned 15.90 g/t gold over 1.00 metre and 11.25 g/t gold over 5.75 metres (including 97.80 g/t over 0.50 metre and 12.80 g/t gold over 1.00 metre), 1.11 g/t gold over 58.00 metres, 10.40 g/t gold over 1.0 metre, 28.80 g/t gold over 1.0 metre, and 11.60 g/t gold over 1.0 metre.

On January 12, 2012, the Company reported on continued high-grade drill results, confirming the presence of a major new Red Lake style, high grade gold discovery. Highlights from those results include holes that returned 15.58 g/t gold over 2.0 metres (including 29.80 g/t gold over 1.0 metre), 1.04 g/t gold over 59.0 metres (including 4.74 g/t gold over 5.0 metres and 39.5 g/t gold over 0.50 metres), 3.27 g/t gold over 15.0 metres (including 36.15 g/t gold over 1.0 metre), 2.17 g/t gold over 47.0 metres (including 19.42 g/t gold over 3.0 metres and 56.8 g/t gold over 1.0 metre), and 1.52 g/t gold over 42.0 metres (including 17.10 g/t gold over 1.0 metre). On January 23, 2012, the Company reported on additional work completed. Highlights from those results include holes that intersected 60.60 g/t gold over 1.0 metre, 21.60 g/t gold over 1.5 metres, 1.34 g/t gold over 46.0 metres, 1.56 g/t gold over 38.0 metres, 3.41 g/t gold over 27.50 metres (including 145.0 g/t gold over 0.50 metres), 5.94 g/t gold over 27.0 metres (including 139.0 g/t gold over 1.0 metre), and 7.11 g/t gold over 13.0 metres (including 17.53 g/t gold over 5.0 metres and 114.0 g/t gold over 0.50 metre).

Gold mineralization at the property is focused in the NTS, which extends for over two kilometres across the property hosting broad zones of quartz veining and silica/sulphide replacements within the widespread Iron-carbonate alteration. These zones are interpreted as near vertical to steeply plunging structurally controlled amoeba shaped bodies which extend from surface to at least 500 metres in depth. The zone remains open along strike and at depth.

Geological characteristics of the gold mineralization are similar from hole-to-hole across the entire drilled area. High grade gold zones typically occur within wide zones of disseminated gold mineralization. The Hinge zone appears to be a favorable structural and geological trap for gold bearing hydrothermal fluids as it has returned some of the highest grades and wider zones of gold mineralization within the NTS

Core samples from the program were cut in half using a diamond cutting saw and were sent to Activation Laboratories Ltd. in Red Lake Ontario, an accredited mineral analysis laboratory, for analysis. All samples were analyzed for gold using standard Fire Assay-AA techniques. Samples returning over 3.0 g/t gold were analyzed utilizing standard Fire Assay-Gravimetric methods. Certified gold reference standards, blanks and field duplicates were routinely inserted into the sample stream as part of the Company's quality control/quality assurance program.

A fully operational camp is now in place at the property, and Matrix Diamond Drilling Inc. has been contracted to undertake the Company's planned 2012 minimum 5,000 meter diamond drill program. The majority of the program will target the Hinge Zone with hole locations designed to follow-up significant results returned from the 2011 drill program, test several key geological and structural concepts identified in the recently completed 3D model, and to optimize the geological information.

### **Nevada, USA**

#### **Eagle Basin Property**

The Eagle Basin project, in central Nevada, now consisting of 37 unpatented mineral claims staked by the Company. The project lies along a northerly-trending corridor encompassing, with few exceptions, the largest gold (±silver) deposits in Nevada (>>2 million ounces gold).

Eagle Basin contains an extensive alteration zone within Late Eocene volcanic rocks that is known to cover at least 1.6 square miles (4.3 km<sup>2</sup>). Alteration consists of strong chalcedonic silicification and argillization with local quartz and chalcedony veins and disseminated sulfides; anomalous gold is common. A series of northwest-trending silicified zones across a width of at least 3,300 feet occurs in the core of the system, with individual zones up to 500 feet in length and about 20 feet in width. The strength of the alteration system, the trace-element signature and the limited drill testing present an opportunity for discovering a high-sulfidation type epithermal gold deposit or a porphyry-style Cu-Au-Mo deposit. The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

#### **Painted Hills Property**

The Painted Hills Project, now consisting of 79 staked claims located in northwestern Nevada, 83 miles northwest of Winnemucca. The project has important geologic similarities to multi-million ounce, high-grade gold deposits of Middle Miocene age in the northwestern Great Basin, notably the Sleeper (2.5 million ounces produced) and Midas (3.0 million ounces produced) deposits, and offers the potential for discovery of a new, high-grade gold vein system. The Sleeper deposit is about 50 miles to the southeast. The project lies along a regional northeast-trending fault that has localized gold mineralization at the Hog Ranch and Mountain View gold districts to the southwest, both similar in age and geologic setting to Sleeper and Midas.

The project exposes opaline and chalcedonic silicification and argillic alteration within Middle Miocene volcanic rocks along a range-front fault with strongly anomalous As, Hg, Mo and Sb and locally anomalous gold. The exposed system is at least 1.6km long, and the alteration and mineralization are consistent with the upper levels of an epithermal system. A drilling program in 2007 was the first to be completed in the project area and was designed to test the deeper levels of the system toward an inferred boiling level, where high-grade gold-bearing veins would be expected. Only a small portion of the hydrothermal system was tested. All holes intersected multiple zones of strong pyritic silicification, typically 25-40m thick across a plan width of about 200m, with local stockworks of variably sulfidic, multiple-generation chalcedony veins. Gold is anomalous in silicified and veined intervals, reaching 330 ppb, and correlates strongly with Mo. The 2007 drilling program validated the exploration model by demonstrating increasing gold with and a change from opal to chalcedony with depth, which is consistent with increasing temperature. However, vein textures indicate that the potentially gold-silver rich boiling level remains deeper or lateral to the area drilled. The strong correlation between Mo and gold is a favorable attribute, as this also occurs at the Speeper deposit. Additional drilling is recommended to

explore other portions of the mineralized trend, the range front and pediment to the east, and follow-up in the area drilled in 2007. The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the property.

#### **Richmond Summit Property**

Located in the central Carlin trend in Nevada, the Richmond Summit project, now comprised of 72 staked claims, covers two square miles and lies 4 miles northwest of the Mike deposit (8.5 M oz gold) in the Gold Quarry district and 5.5 miles south of the Carlin - West Leeville gold deposit (>10 M oz gold production plus reserves). Both mines are operated by Newmont Mining Corporation, and the Richmond Summit project is surrounded by lands largely controlled by Newmont.

The property lies at the southern tip of the Lynn window, an area exposing carbonate (calcareous) rocks in the lower plate of the regional Roberts Mountains thrust fault. Lower-plate carbonates host most of the gold mineralization along the Carlin trend, although mineralization locally extends into the overlying upper-plate rocks. Although rocks exposed on the project are dominantly upper-plate siliciclastics, thick sections of carbonates believed to part of the lower plate have been intersected in drilling and are locally exposed as thrust slices within the upper-plate rocks. In addition to this favorable stratigraphic setting, the project lies on the southern extension of the northerly-trending Post fault, a major structural control to world-class gold deposits in the northern Carlin trend north of the project such as Post-Betze (Goldstrike) and Meikle. The project contains numerous north trending, Late Eocene dikes which follow the Post fault trend and represent the important conduits (feeders) for gold mineralization. Carlin-trend gold mineralization occurred during emplacement of these dikes, which are common within the gold deposits.

Gold mineralization on the project occurs in several widely-spaced areas within upper-plate siltstones and greenstone along the margins of dikes. The strongest gold mineralization identified to date occurs in the Main Zone, where surface samples reach 7.75 ppm Au (0.226 opt [ounces per ton]) accompanied by strongly-elevated arsenic (to 2.7%), antimony (to 450 ppm) and mercury (to 3.3 ppm), a signature consistent with Carlin-type gold mineralization. Other mineralized areas include the Ridge Zone, 1,200 feet west of the Main Zone, which contains anomalous gold at surface to 1.97 ppm in siliciclastic rocks and greenstone adjacent to a rhyolite dike; a float sample from Ridge returned 3.7 ppm. Several other areas contain anomalous gold at surface in siliciclastic rocks and altered dikes, with values reaching 0.755 ppm.

Drilling at the project in 2008 and 2009 intersected abundant dikes and locally anomalous gold along dikes within upper-plate rocks and also locally intersected lower-plate rocks. However, deep drilling within the strongest gold zones remains limited in scope, and the drilling to date has not successfully intersected the roots to the anomalies within the lower plate.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the property .

#### **Root Spring Property**

The Root Spring Property, located approximately 50 miles south of the city of Winnemucca and 16 miles east of the world-class open-pit Rochester silver mine operated by Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation. The property is under option agreement with Brocade Metals Corp. ("Brocade"), whereby Brocade has the option to earn a 70% interest in the property.

During the year ended March 31, 2012, Brocade and the Company completed a staking program to add an additional 64 claims to the Root Spring property, to cover potential extensions to the mineralized system under alluvial cover that were identified by a recent geophysical survey, such that the property now consists of 134 unpatented claims that cover 1,120 hectares.

Mineralization at Root Spring consists of northwest-trending, low-angle southwest-dipping quartz veins and quartz-vein stockworks hosted within volcanic rocks which may be equivalent to the host Triassic volcanic section at the Rochester mine. The veins are exposed within a northwest-trending alluvial-filled valley corridor along a range front which may mark a major structural zone. The quartz-vein system is at least 1,200m long. Veins are up to 4.5m thick, with two parallel veins exposed, separated by about 100m. Surface rock-chip values in veins reach 9.36 ppm gold (0.273 ounces per ton, opt) accompanied by high

silver values reaching 1500 ppm (44 opt). Veins locally contain secondary copper minerals and traces of tetrahedrite, galena and sphalerite. The two veins are surrounded by poorly-exposed silicified felsic volcanic rocks containing quartz-vein stockworks. The wallrocks locally contain low-grade gold reaching 0.862 ppm.

The vein system is partly concealed by alluvium and is likely to extend significantly along strike beyond the limited vein exposures. Further, the two parallel veins indicate the potential for additional veins at depth and in areas covered by alluvium.

Work by Brocade during the year ending March 31, 2012 included additional surface sampling that returned values as high as 1,420 g/t Ag and 7.91 g/t Au over 1.15 metres. Locally, well-developed quartz stockwork zones occur in the immediate hangingwall of the veins and carry bulk tonnage gold-silver grades (0.744 g/t Au and 73.7 g/t Ag). In late 2011, a Controlled Source Audio-Frequency Magnetotelluric (CSAMT) geophysical survey provided a fingerprint of the exposed vein system and identified linear, resistivity- high anomalies that are thought to represent extensions of the veins beneath a thin cover of alluvium. These features occur up to 600 m northwest of the exposed veins and up to 800 m southeast of the exposed veins, and suggest that the strike length of the system may be much greater than presently recognized. Additional resistivity high anomalies occur to the southwest of the trend of the exposed veins and suggest that additional veins or stockwork zones may exist beneath a veneer of alluvium and/or post-mineralization Tertiary volcanic rocks. Root Spring offers an opportunity to delineate gold-silver mineralization within large veins and surrounding wallrocks.

Approval was received in April, 2012, from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for a drilling program at the property. The work is being carried out by Brocade. Thirty drill sites were approved by the BLM, and Brocade is in the process of logistical planning for a first-phase drilling program to test the down dip potential of the exposed parts of the vein system, several of the prominent resistivity high anomalies that underlie a cover of alluvium along strike and to the southwest of the exposed veins, and the bulk tonnage potential of the hangingwall and footwall rocks that host the discrete, well mineralized veins.

### **Cooks Creek Property**

In November, 2007, the Company staked unpatented claims covering an area of outcropping sediment-hosted gold mineralization about 8 miles west of the Pipeline Mine. The property, now consisting of 124 claims (approximately 4 square miles), lies 27 miles south of the town of Battle Mountain in central Nevada, along the Battle Mountain-Eureka mineral belt, also referred to as the Cortez trend. The Pipeline and adjacent Cortez and Cortez Hills Carlin-type gold deposits contain more than 25 million ounces of gold (production plus reserves/resources); the mines are operated by Barrick Gold Corporation. On February 25, 2011, the Company entered into an option agreement with Catalina Metals Corp. ("Catalina"), whereby Catalina may earn an initial 60% interest in the Cooks Creek project, which interest may subsequently be increased to 70%.

The Main gold zone at Cooks Creek covers an area of at least 890 by 760m with surface rock-chip assays reaching 1.26 ppm gold. The zone contains strong enrichments in arsenic, mercury, and antimony (locally occurring as stibnite), elements characteristic of productive Carlin-type gold deposits. Mineralization is localized along northeast-trending faults and hosted by "upper-plate" Paleozoic siliclastic rocks (siltstone and chert), strongly-altered felsic dikes and volcanoclastics and is coincident with silicification. Historic drilling intersected gold mineralization, including 70 feet grading 0.068 opt (21.3m @ 2.317 g/t) from 60 to 130 feet, 40 feet @ 0.015 opt (12m @ 0.516 g/t), and 20 feet @ 0.019 opt (6m @ 0.635 g/t). Mineralization remains open along at least two northeast-striking fault zones about 1,000 feet apart.

In early 2011, Catalina and the Company discovered a significant new area of gold mineralization with rock-chip samples of up to 2.45 g/t (0.071 ounces per ton) gold along a district-scale fault about 750m south of previously-defined gold mineralization at the Main zone. This new zone, called the Dinner Zone, has not been drill tested. The Dinner zone contains silicified and brecciated chert and quartzite along a northeast-trending fault that is parallel to structures controlling gold mineralization at the Main zone. The size of the Dinner zone at surface is uncertain due to extensive alluvial cover, which conceals the mineralization, but it appears to be at least 300m long by 40m wide. Of 31 rock-chip samples collected in

the area, 17 exceed 0.1 g/t gold, 6 exceed 1 g/t gold, with a high of 2.45 g/t gold, and these sample results are higher than surface values at the Main zone. Trace elements indicate a favorable Carlin-type gold signature, with strong arsenic (up to 5750 ppm), mercury (up to 57 ppm), and antimony (up to 933 ppm) with low silver, lead and zinc.

An induced-polarization (IP) geophysical survey was completed in 2011 along a 2.2km line that crosses the structures controlling the Main and Dinner zones. The survey aided in the delineation of important faults at depth and identified a significant IP anomaly under the fault controlling the new Dinner gold zone. This anomaly, possibly the result of deep elevated sulfide content, provides additional support for drill-testing this new zone.

Catalina is planning to complete six to nine drill holes in the Main and Dinner zones in 2012.

### **Oasis Property**

The Oasis Project consists of 122 staked unpatented mining claims and 2 leased claims in southwestern Nevada, 26 miles southwest of the Goldfield mining district (4 million ounces of gold produced). Oasis contains extensive low-grade, disseminated gold mineralization that is believed to represent a porphyry gold system. On April 22, 2010, the Company entered into agreement with Centerra (U.S.) Inc. ("Centerra"), whereby Centerra has an option to earn a 75% interest in the property.

Oasis is a unique porphyry gold system within the Walker Lane Province of western Nevada. Widespread low-grade disseminated gold mineralization at surface reaches 6.3 g/t, with the surface and subsurface gold zone at +0.1 g/t at least 1km by 0.8km. The system is centered on a multi-phase intrusive complex cutting Lower Paleozoic basement sedimentary rocks and overlying Tertiary andesitic volcanics which may be the same age as the host andesite in the Goldfield district; mineralization is hosted in all three units. Mineralization is coincident with potassic alteration, notably hydrothermal biotite, and sheeted A-type quartz veinlets, and gold correlates with copper, molybdenum, tin and potassium. The exposed and drilled portions of the system appears to represent the outer portions of a large porphyry Au-Cu system. Evidence for this includes a strong phyllic overprint to the potassic alteration, surface and near-surface mineralization coincident with elevated Mo and Zn, increasing copper with depth and a barren advanced-argillic (silica-alunite) cap.

By fiscal year end 2011, Centerra had completed an airborne geophysical survey, geological mapping and rock sampling, soil sampling and 16 reverse circulation drill holes totalling 4,843 metres. The drilling covered a broad area of approximately 1 km in diameter with holes spaced about 275m apart on average and results indicate the gold system extends beyond the area in several directions. Stronger mineralization is localized along the margins of the exposed gold system, possibly due to an unrecognized structural control. Eleven of the sixteen holes yielded intersections of gold mineralization. Highlights of the drilling completed from August to October, 2010, include:

- Hole 14: 96.1m of 0.26 g/t including 12.2m of 0.40 g/t
- Hole 15: 7.6m of 0.80 g/t and 10.7m of 0.63 g/t
- Hole 10: 13.7m of 0.49 g/t including 3.0m of 1.06 g/t.

Between September 25 and November 12, 2011, Centerra completed an additional eleven reverse-circulation drill holes for 2,447.5 metres. Drilling focused around the eastern and western edges of the known gold system where the 2010 drilling intersected stronger disseminated mineralization with possible structural control. Five of the 11 holes were terminated well short of target depth due to drilling problems. Highlights from the 2011 drilling include:

- Hole 24: 169.2m of 0.22 g/t
- Hole 26: 30.5m of 0.40 g/t including 10.7m of 0.91 g/t.

Drilling results show copper increasing with depth within the gold mineralization. However, the deepest drilling has tested to only 365m (1,200 feet) below surface, and many of the 2011 holes failed to reach targeted depths. The gold system remains open at depth and to the south and west, and Redstar believes there is potential for a significant porphyry Au-Cu deposit.

Centerra withdrew from the agreement on February 21, 2012, and the Company is seeking a partner to continue advancing the project.

### **Baker (fka Baker Spring) Property**

The Baker Project consists of 22 staked mineral claims covering an area of strong silicification twelve miles north of the Long Canyon gold deposit (Newmont Mining Corp.). It lies along the eastern range front of the Pequop Mountains, the same setting as Long Canyon, which is a sediment-hosted (Carlin-type) gold system containing a preliminary indicated and inferred resource of approximately 822,000 ounces of gold. Baker is a Carlin-type gold target containing multiple structurally-controlled zones of silicification (jasperoid) along north-northwest trending faults, an orientation similar to many of the productive gold deposits of northern Nevada. The jasperoids contain strongly-elevated mercury and other trace metals and locally contain disseminated pyrite and hydrothermal barite, features characteristic of productive Carlin-type gold deposits. Individual silicified fault zones are at least 1.2 km long and project into or are surrounded by valley-fill gravel (alluvium) along the northeast corner of the Pequop Range. Alluvial cover in the area appears to be thin, with jasperoids in some areas surrounded by more than 1 km of cover between outcrops. There are no records of previous drilling.

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into a lease agreement with Newmont USA Limited, a subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation ("Newmont"), whereby Newmont will lease the property from Redstar, subject to a 2.5% net smelter royalty. Newmont has advised the Company that it has completed a program of surface sampling, geophysics and geologic mapping to define drill targets, and is planning a first-phase drilling program for 2012 at the property.

### **Seven Devils Property**

The Seven Devils Project, located 55 miles south of Winnemucca, Nevada, consists of 54 staked claims and 16 leased claims. The project contains extensive volcanic-hosted, low-sulfidation epithermal gold mineralization, with surface values reaching 2.6 ppm (g/t).

The gold system lies along a regional, north-trending structural zone which contains important volcanic-hosted gold deposits north of the project, including the Sleeper, Sandman and Goldbanks deposits. This structural zone, the Western Nevada Rift, is parallel to and similar to the Northern Nevada Rift, which localizes a series of similar productive volcanic-hosted gold systems about 50 miles to the east, including the Mule Canyon, Fire Creek and Buckhorn gold deposits. These gold systems represent a class of productive gold deposits in the Great Basin region of Middle Miocene age hosted in Middle Miocene volcanic rocks. The deposits typically contain disseminated low-grade gold as well as high-grade, locally bonanza-grade veins.

The geology and gold mineralization at Seven Devils are similar to the Goldbanks deposit 37 km to the north (Resource of 2.3 million ounces gold reported in 2000). At Seven Devils, gold occurs in Middle Miocene volcanic conglomerate (values to 2.6 g/t), felsic ash-flow tuff (gold to 1.4 g/t) and basaltic intrusive rocks and is coincident with pervasive silicification, quartz veinlets, hydrothermal brecciation, and widespread anomalies in As, Sb and Hg, and locally Se and Mo. Fluorite occurs locally with gold mineralization. Minor gold mineralization is also hosted by silicified limestone underlying the volcanic rocks. Gold mineralization occurs over a strike length of at least 1.9 km, with strongly-anomalous trace elements covering 3.3 km of strike. Historic exploration drilling in the late 1980's was shallow (average depth of 350 feet in 29 holes) and largely tested the basement limestone with little attention to the overlying volcanic-hosted gold. A number of mineralized intervals were encountered with gold values to 2.52 ppm, but assays were by atomic absorption (AA) without fire assay. The AA analysis may have underestimated grade where gold is associated with disseminated sulfides. The historic exploration drilling also failed to adequately test the mineralized volcanic section, which Redstar believes is the principal target at Seven Devils.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Queens Property**

The Queens project, consisting of 6 staked unpatented mining claims, is located 8 miles southeast of the world-class Round Mountain gold mine (>13 Moz production and reserves; Kinross Gold Corp, Barrick Gold Corp) and 5 miles northeast of the Manhattan gold district.

Like Round Mountain, Queens contains a disseminated gold system hosted in caldera-related volcanic rocks (ash-flow tuff). Preliminary sampling by Redstar has returned outcrop gold values to 0.587 ppm. Historic surface sampling during the early 1990's returned values to 1.95 ppm gold in outcrop and 6.98 ppm gold in soil sampling. Shallow (60 to 150 m) reverse-circulation drilling of sixteen holes in the early 1990's returned significant gold intersections: hole 91-2 yielded 0.793 g/t over 23m, including 1.18 g/t over 9m; hole 91-5 returned 0.462 g/t over 17m and 0.530 g/t over 45 14m. Local higher grades are present, reaching 6.8 g/t over 1.5m. Queens lies within a volcanic sequence within the 25 million year-old (Ma) Manhattan caldera, part of a complex of calderas which erupted between about 24 and 26 Ma and includes the caldera hosting the Round Mountain deposit.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Opal Hill Property**

The Opal Hill project, consisting of 6 staked unpatented claims, contains a large area of silicification at a range front about 29 miles northwest of the Round Mountain and Gold Hill gold deposits.

The silicification is enriched in mercury (to >100 ppm) and As (to 900 ppm), with moderately-elevated antimony (to 30 ppm), and these elements are concentrated in the western portion of the exposed opalite where it projects under valley cover. There is no record of previous modern drilling, nor is there any record of activity by major mining or exploration companies.

Opal Hill represents an undrilled, pristine exploration opportunity. The silicification is interpreted as a high-level or distal part of a potentially gold-mineralized system concealed along the range front and under the valley-fill alluvium to the west.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Larus Property**

The Larus Project, consisting of 62 staked unpatented mining claims along the prolific Cortez gold belt in central Nevada, covers a sediment-hosted (Carlin-type) gold system about 23 miles northwest of Eureka, Nevada, site of Barrick Gold Corp's Ruby Hill gold mining operations, and 31 miles southeast of Barrick's Cortez Hills gold mining operations.

Gold mineralization at Larus occurs in silicified zones (jasperoids) and quartz veins in "lower-plate" limestone that locally contain stibnite (antimony sulphide), a common accessory mineral in productive Carlin-type gold deposits. Mineralization is also locally present in "upper plate" shale. Preliminary sampling completed by Redstar has returned significant gold in several widely-spaced areas, with values reaching 3.23 ppm (g/t); historic assays from previous exploration programs reach 7.6 ppm. Mineralization is known over a strike length of at least 1.2 km and is controlled by north-northwest trending faults, an important mineralized structural orientation in gold deposits along the Cortez gold belt.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Long Island**

The Long Island project consists of 56 staked unpatented mining claims, approximately 20 kilometres southeast of the world-class Round Mountain gold deposit.

The Long Island project lies along the east edge of the Toquima Range, and contains extensive silicification within caldera-related volcanic rocks, that are similar in age to the volcanic rocks that host the ~15 million ounce Round Mountain disseminated gold deposit (operated by Barrick Gold and Kinross Gold). A 50 metre thick section of silicified volcanic rocks dips gently eastward into the range front and likely extends eastward under alluvial cover. Unoxidized zones are locally present within the silicification

and contain fine-grained disseminated pyrite. The silicification is locally anomalous in gold, arsenic, antimony and mercury and is considered to represent the distal stratiform portion of a hydrothermal system that may be centered under alluvial cover to the east, where there has been minimal exploration.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Black Hawk Property**

The Black Hawk project consists of 8 staked claims covering hydrothermally altered Tertiary volcanic rocks and basement limestone about 115 km east of Tonopah, Nevada. Historic prospects contain anomalous gold and mercury. The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

### **Gold Cloud Property**

The Gold Cloud project consists of 20 staked claims covering sediment-hosted (Carlin-type) gold mineralization along a range-front fault about 17 miles south of Barrick's Ruby Hill gold mining operations in the Eureka Mining District. The Project lies along the southeastern part of the Cortez gold belt.

Gold Cloud contains extensive carbonate veins and silicification (jasperoids) within Devonian limestone that are exposed for a strike length of 2km following a northeast-trending range-front fault. Gold values obtained by Redstar reach 2.80 g/t. Valley-fill alluvial sediments (pediment) beyond the range-front fault appear to be thin, based on relatively detailed gravity data as well as the occurrence of north-northwest-trending outcrops of limestone outboard of the range front. The exposed carbonate vein system, the silicification and favorable geochemistry could represent the uppermost or distal portion of a large Carlin-type gold system yet to be identified, either beneath the exposed veins or outboard of the range front under pediment cover. Occurrences of native sulfur in the jasperoid also indicate a distal setting.

The Company continues to pursue possible joint venture partners for the project.

## **Alaska, USA**

### **Unga Project**

The Unga project covers portions of Unga and Popof Islands, 900km southwest of Anchorage Alaska, near the town of Sand Point, which has a commercial airport and port facilities. The 250 square-km land position comprises two properties:

**Shumagin:** Sixteen patented claims and six Alaska state mining claims on Unga Island covering the Shumagin vein, with a historic resource of 280,000 tons grading 0.8 opt Au<sup>\*</sup>, and the historic Apollo-Sitka mines, which produced 150,000 ounces of gold at about 10 g/t between 1891 and 1922. Redstar can earn a 100% interest from a private company.

**Unga-Popof:** Native corporation lands on Unga and Popof Islands; contains mineralized extensions of the Shumagin and Apollo-Sitka vein systems; contains the Centennial disseminated gold deposit on Popof Island that has a preliminary resource of 4.8M tons @ 0.042 opt Au<sup>\*</sup>, as well as the Zachary Bay porphyry copper-gold system on Unga Island. Redstar can earn a 75% interest from Full Metal Minerals Inc.

Redstar is the first exploration company to consolidate both properties, allowing for comprehensive district-scale exploration for the first time. There has been no exploration in the district since 1990. The Unga Project contains high-grade gold-silver vein systems and disseminated gold mineralization within an island-arc volcanic sequence of Late Eocene age; it also contains related intrusion-hosted porphyry copper-gold mineralization.

### **Unga Project: Shumagin Property**

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into an option agreement with NGAS Production Co. ("NGAS"), a subsidiary of Magnum Hunter Resources Corp. ("Magnum"), to acquire, subject to underlying advance royalty payments of US\$2,000 per month to a maximum of US\$450,000, a 100% interest in the Shumagin Project, in consideration for making:

Cash payments to NGAS:

- US\$100,000 on signing of the agreement (paid);

- US\$500,000 on or before July 15, 2011 (paid);
- US\$250,000 on or before January 1, 2012 (paid).

Share issuances to NGAS:

- US\$250,000 by the issuance of common shares of the Company on or before June 30, 2011 (issued)
- US\$250,000 by issuance of common shares of the Company on or before January 1, 2012 (issued).

Cash payment or share issuances to NGAS

- At the option of NGAS, a final option payment of US\$1,500,000 in cash (US\$1,000,000 on or before September 1, 2012 and US\$500,000 on or before September 1, 2013) or the number of common shares of the Company equivalent to US\$1,500,000 on or before October 1, 2012.

The Shumagin property contains two northeast-trending, high-grade (>10 g/t gold) gold-silver vein systems, the Shumagin and the parallel Apollo-Sitka about 3km to the south. Mineralization along the Shumagin vein stockwork is known for at least 1.3km and is part of a district-scale mineralized fault system, the Shumagin trend, which includes other high-grade gold vein systems along its 9km strike length that lie on the Unga-Popof Property. The Apollo-Sitka vein system lies along the ~7km Apollo trend, with approximately 5km of the trend covered by patented claims of the Shumagin property. The remaining portions of the trend lie on the Unga-Popof Property. Mineralization along the Apollo-Sitka vein system is known for at least 3km within the Shumagin Property, but mineralization also occurs along the trend within the Unga-Popof Property.

#### Shumagin Vein System

The Shumagin vein, which has had no historic production, contains a resource of 254,000 tonnes (280,000 tons) grading 27.4 g/t (0.80 ounces per ton) gold and 127 g/t (3.7 opt) silver (SRK Consulting, 2000). The resource is based on shallow drilling in the 1980's that was within about 150m (500 feet) of surface. Results of this drilling included 365.2 g/t gold and 190.6 g/t Ag over 1.22m in hole 46 and 33.26 g/t Au over 3.2 m in hole 57. The vein is at least 1.3km long but remains open for exploration along strike and at depth. For example, one lone deep hole that was not included in the resource intersected 5.5m grading 16.1 g/t gold 130m below the resource, indicating a strong vertical extent to mineralization of at least 335m. Limited drilling along strike from the resource block intersected locally high grades, including 37.7 g/t (1.1 opt) gold over 0.76m (2.5 feet). Mineralization occurs in a network of closely-spaced, multiple, steeply-dipping veins across widths of up to 70m, and there is potential for multiple ore shoots.

From September 6th to October 4th, 2011, the Company completed its first round of drilling at the Shumagin vein, with ten holes totaling 2,062 metres. The results confirmed a high-grade, bonanza type gold system and included 21 metres of 4.02 g/t gold containing a bonanza-grade interval of 1.0 metre of 43.90 g/t gold in hole 7, and 30 metres of 14.98 g/t gold containing 738 g/t gold over 0.55 metres in hole 10; visible gold is common. The Company is planning an aggressive drilling exploration program at the Shumagin vein for the summer of 2012, to expand the known mineralization at depth and along strike.

#### Apollo-Sitka Vein System

Historic mining from 1891-1922 along the Apollo-Sitka vein system produced approximately 150,000 ounces of gold at an average grade of 10.3 g/t (0.3 opt) gold, and mineralization is known to cover a vertical extent of at least 400m. There were inadequate methods for recovering gold from base-metal bearing sulfidic ore encountered at deeper levels, and mining was terminated. There has been very limited modern exploration along the trend. In 2011, Redstar collected several samples from the area of the historic Sitka shaft and obtained high-grade Au-Ag values across the vein of 13.2 g/t Au and 398 g/t Ag over 2 metres in outcrop that includes a sulfide vein separate which assayed 94.7 g/t Au and 1840 g/t Ag. There was minimal historic mining at Sitka owing to the presence of shallow sulfides. The width of vein mineralization at Apollo was up to 12m wide in the mined zones, and evidence at Sitka indicates a vein system that may be as wide as 50m. At Sitka, historic reports document shallow high-grade gold mineralization, including 2,000 tons grading 18.6 g/t gold above the 60-foot level and 8,150 tons grading 4 g/t gold between the 150 and 250 foot levels. These tonnages are based upon limited drifting of less than 750 feet along the vein. The width of the mineralization is not known; however, an extensive quartz-stockwork vein zone is exposed in a series of trenches indicating a minimum width of at least 50 metres. Modern exploration work at Sitka is limited to an early 1980's program which reported high-grade

mineralization, including 31.82 g/t gold over 1.8 metres on the 150 level, 15.08 g/t gold over 1 metre in one of the trenches and 22.63 g/t gold over 0.6 metres in a drillhole from the 150 level (*The Mining Record*, July 7, 1982 and September 7, 1983). The Company has not been able to verify these results, but they are consistent with the Company’s limited sampling completed in 2011. Gold-bearing veins with historic sampling to 147 g/t gold also occur at the California prospect 1.5 km southwest of the Apollo mine.

**Unga Project: Unga-Popof Property**

On June 9, 2011, the Company entered into agreement with Full Metal Minerals Ltd. (“Full Metal”) to acquire 60% of Full Metal’s interest in the Unga-Popof Property in consideration for the following:

Cash payments to Full Metal

- US\$5,000 on signing of the agreement (paid)
- US\$70,000 within five business days of finalization of the underlying agreements as negotiated by Full Metal
- US\$75,000 on or before August 1, 2012
- US\$75,000 on or before August 1, 2013
- US\$75,000 on or before August 1, 2014.

Share issuances to Full Metal

- 250,000 common shares of the Company with 5 business days of the finalization of the underlying agreements by Full Metal
- 250,000 common shares of the Company on or before August 1, 2012
- 250,000 common shares of the Company on or before August 1, 2013
- 250,000 common shares of the Company on or before August 1, 2014.

Incur expenditures on the Property

- US\$500,000 on or before August 1, 2012
- an additional US\$1,000,000 on or before August 1, 2013
- an additional US\$1,500,000 on or before August 1, 2014
- an additional US\$2,000,000 on or before August 1, 2015.

In addition, the Company has the option of earning an additional 15% interest by producing a Bankable Feasibility Study and issuing an additional 1,000,000 common shares of the Company to Full Metal. The property is subject to 3 underlying agreements, as to mineral and surface rights. Mineral rights are held by Full Metal Minerals Inc. under a lease agreement with Aleut Corporation (an Alaska Native Regional Corporation), and the surface rights are held by the Unga Corp. and Shumagin Corp. (both native village corporations).

The Unga-Popof property includes the extensions of the Shumagin and Apollo-Sitka high-grade vein systems defined on the Shumagin property as well as other gold and copper-gold occurrences.

*Shumagin Trend Veins*

Numerous gold occurrences have been identified along the Shumagin trend within the Unga-Popof Property beyond the Shumagin vein. For example, the Aquila vein field is approximately 6 km along strike from the Shumagin vein and has been traced through trenching and drilling for over 2 km with a width of up to 700m. Shallow exploration in the early 1980’s identified high-grade gold, including 11.5 g/t over 3.6m in a trench and 113 g/t over 0.45m at base of 49m core hole (hole terminated due to broken ground). There has been no drilling since 1981. The Bloomer Ridge target closer to the Shumagin Vein contains surface samples in veins to 5.3 g/t.

*Centennial Disseminated Gold*

Centennial is a shallow, bulk tonnage gold system on Popof Island. In the late 1980’s, Battle Mountain Gold Corp completed 59 drill holes and defined a non 43-101 compliant resource of 4.8 million tons with an average grade of 1.5g/t gold to a depth of 50m. The disseminated replacement-style low-grade gold mineralization contains local high-grade (+3 g/t gold) zones/structures that have yet to be fully explored. Historic drillholes were very shallow (94m average length) and steep, thereby not allowing for an opportunity to intersect steeply-dipping higher-grade structures. Analysis of the historic results indicates that the disseminated mineralization is open for expansion and that there is potential to delineate high-

grade mineralized feeder structures. Results from the historic trenches include: 1.82 g/t over 72.5m containing 7.53 g/t over 10.8m (containing 18.1 g/t over 3m) in Trench 5, and 0.83 g/t over 36.6m in Trench 10. Results from historic drillholes include: 1.07 g/t over 61.3m containing 3.17 g/t over 5.6m in CENT-1, 0.74 g/t over 39.6m in CENT-34 and 1.52 g/t over 10.7m containing 4.45 g/t over 3m in CENT-20.

#### Apollo Trend Veins

Historic data indicate that significant hydrothermal alteration and anomalous gold occur along the Apollo trend on the Unga-Popof Property, and these areas represent important exploration targets for additional Apollo-Sitka style veins.

#### Zachary Bay Porphyry Copper-Gold System

The Zachary Bay porphyry copper-gold target is 7 km northwest of the Shumagin vein. Surface rock-chip sampling in 1974 returned 46 metres of 0.36% copper and 0.550 g/t gold. Four shallow (<120 metre) drillholes completed in 1975 by Quintana Minerals Corp and Duval Corp intersected disseminated Cu-Au mineralization in intrusive rocks. The deepest drillhole (Z1, 117 metres) was mineralized over its entire length, with 107 metres grading 0.11% copper and 0.280 g/t gold. These assays were completed by Resource Associates of Alaska in 1981, who re-assayed the original core. Abundant disseminated hydrothermal biotite, magnetite and chalcopyrite within a quartz-diorite intrusion with pink potassium feldspar phenocrysts are consistent with classic porphyry copper-gold mineralization. The strong correlation between copper and gold is also indicative of a porphyry system. There has been no exploration at Zachary Bay since 1975.

#### Other Vein Occurrences

Numerous other mineralized veins occur on the Unga-Popof property on both Unga and Popof Islands and have seen minimal historic exploration.

### **Unga Project: Company's Plans and General Comments**

The Company is planning an aggressive exploration program for 2012 that is expected to include additional drilling on the Shumagin Vein to extend high-grade mineralization at depth and along strike, first-phase drilling at the Sitka vein, first-phase drilling at the Zachary Bay porphyry copper-gold system, surface work and first-phase drilling at the Centennial deposit and surface work and possible first-phase drilling at the Aquila vein system and other mineralized occurrences.

The Unga Project is an extremely important acquisition, giving the Company control of an entire underexplored epithermal district with multiple high-grade vein fields and disseminated mineralized systems capable of producing significant resources. In particular, high grade gold systems are extremely attractive targets because they tend to have very low operating costs per ounce and smaller environmental footprints.

*\* Note that a qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves that is compliant with NI 43-101. The Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves and the historical estimate should not be relied upon or understood to indicate the existence of reserves or resources.*

### **Liquidity**

As at March 31, 2012, the Company has a working capital of \$275,911 (March 31, 2011: working capital deficit of \$87,395), an accumulated deficit of \$12,663,997 (March 31, 2011: \$10,813,911).

During the current fiscal year, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement financing for gross proceeds of \$4,521,300. A total of 12,917,999 units were issued at a price of \$0.35 per unit (the "Units"), with each Unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each share purchase warrant allows for the purchase one additional common share of the Company at \$0.60 per common share until July 14, 2013. In connection with the private placement, the Company paid finders' fees in cash of \$244,665 and other expenditures of \$36,723, and issued 699,043 share purchase warrants valued at \$42,781. The warrants have an accelerated expiry provision, such

that four months after the warrants are issued, the Company may give notice that said warrants will expire thirty days from the date of the notice, unless previously exercised by the purchaser, provided that for a period of ten consecutive trading days the weighted average closing price of the Company's common shares on the Exchange exceeds \$0.90 per share, All securities issued pursuant to this private placement are subject to a four-month hold period that expires on November 15, 2011.

Outstanding contractual obligations include rent of Nevada office space, at US\$1,650 per month until April 30, 2013, and rent of Vancouver office space for which the lease terminates on March 31, 2013. The cost of these premises is shared among the Company and other companies. The Company's proportionate share of minimum annual rental payments under this arrangement is of approximately \$92,625 for calendar year 2012 and \$23,160 for the three months ending March 31, 2013. Commitments in respect of consideration to be paid for the acquisition of interest in mineral properties are detailed in the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended March 31, 2012, including the notes thereto. Payments, issuance of securities or exploration expenditures in respect of properties acquired by way of option agreements entered into by the Company are made at the election of the Company in order that the agreement remain in good standing.

### Capital Resources

The Company's primary capital assets are mineral property interests. The company capitalizes all costs related to the mineral properties. The Board of Directors is responsible for a quarterly review of the properties and any decisions toward impairment. If the property is considered impaired, accumulated costs are expensed at that time.

### Off balance Sheet Transactions

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Transactions with Related Parties

The Company conducts the majority of its exploration activities through an exploration services contractor in which a director is a shareholder. For the year ended March 31, 2012, the Company was charged \$697,073 (2011: \$112,004) for exploration costs; \$8,389 (2011: \$1,187) for capital assets; and \$818,066 (2011: \$644,192) to reimburse office and administrative costs as follows:

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Contract wages*	\$ 373,540	\$ 360,334
Travel and promotion	150,687	96,864
Investor relations	161,280	73,920
Rent	85,287	68,739
Office and miscellaneous	40,822	38,592
Telephone	6,450	5,743
	<b>\$ 818,066</b>	<b>\$ 644,192</b>

\* incl. \$180,000 compensation for CEO (2011: \$201,250 for CEO & CFO)

As at March 31, 2012, the Company owed \$209,354 (2011: \$392,629) to that contractor. The amount due to this related party is without interest and is due on demand. These transactions were made in the normal course of operations and are recorded at the exchange amount, being the amount agreed upon by the related parties.

During the year ended March 31, 2012, the Company entered into a promissory note for \$100,000 with a director of the Company, which note was repaid, including interest, on July 21, 2011.

Compensation of key management personnel for the years ending March 31, 2012 and 2011 is summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Management fees, CEO and CFO	\$ 222,000	\$ 207,250

Key management personnel were not paid post-retirement benefits, termination benefits, or other long-term benefits during the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. At March 31, 2012, \$3,360 was owed to those parties (March 31, 2011: \$3,360).

### Proposed Transactions

The Company does not have any proposed transactions that have been approved by the board of directors. It continues to review and evaluate potential exploration properties.

### Transition to IFRS

The Financial Statements are the Company's first consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS 1. The accounting policies described in the Financial Statements have been applied in preparing financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012 and the comparative information, and in the preparation of an opening IFRS statement of financial position as at April 1, 2010, the Company's date of transition (the "IFRS Transition Date"). An explanation of IFRS 1, first-time adoption of IFRS exemptions, and the required reconciliations between IFRS and Canadian GAAP are described below:

IFRS 1, which governs the first-time adoption of IFRS, generally requires accounting policies to be applied retrospectively to determine the opening balance sheet on the Company's transition date of April 1, 2010. The financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012 are prepared under IFRS, as issued by the IASB. The accounting policies described in the Financial Statements have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012, the comparative information presented in the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2011 and the statement of financial position as at April 1, 2010. An explanation of IFRS1, exemptions applicable to first-time adoption of IFRS, and the required reconciliations between IFRS and Canadian GAAP are described below.

In preparing these financial statements, the Company has applied IFRS1, which provides guidance for an entity's initial adoption of IFRS. IFRS1 give entities adopting IFRS for the first time a number of optional exemptions in certain areas, to the general requirement for full retrospective application of IFRS.

Share-based payments: The Company has elected not to apply IFRS2 *Share-based Payments* to equity instruments granted on or before November 7, 2002 that were fully vested before the date of transition of April 1, 2010.

Business combinations: IFRS1 indicates that a first-time adopter may elect not to apply IFRS3 *Business Combinations* retrospectively to business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to IFRS. The Company has taken advantage of this election and will apply IFRS3 to business combinations that occur on or after April 1, 2010.

Fair value as deemed cost: This exemption allows the Company to initially measure an item of property and equipment, investment property, or intangible asset at its fair value, or an amount determined by a previous GAAP revaluation and use that amount as deemed cost as at the Transition Date on an asset by asset basis. The Company has elected not to apply this exemption.

IFRS 1 requires an entity to reconcile equity, comprehensive income and cash flows for comparative periods. The Company's adoption of IFRS did not have a significant impact on equity, net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss), and operating, investing or financing cash flows in the prior periods. See note 16 to the accompanying audited consolidated financial statements.

### **Change of Auditors**

Smythe Ratcliffe LLP Chartered Accountants (the “Former Auditors”) resigned effective May 10, 2011. Accordingly the directors appointed Morine & Co., Chartered Accountants, LLP as Auditors for the Company.

There was no reservation in any Former Auditors report, no qualified opinion or denial of opinion in connection with the audit of the Company for the two most recently completed fiscal years, or for any subsequent period. There was no reportable event cited by the Former Auditors and the Company is not aware of any reportable events and is of the opinion that none exist. The resignation of the Former Auditors as auditors of the Company was approved by the Company’s audit committee and it’s Board of directors.

### **Changes in Accounting Policies**

#### **International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”)**

The Company prepares the Financial Statements in accordance with Canadian Generally Accepted Principles (“GAAP”) which were revised in 2010 to incorporate International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”), and require publicly accountable enterprises to apply such standards effective for years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. Accordingly, the Company has commenced reporting on this basis in the Financial Statements. In the Financial Statement, the term “Canadian GAAP” refers to Canadian GAAP before the adoption of IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

The Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Subject to certain transition elections disclosed in note 15 contained in the Financial Statements, the Company has consistently applied the same accounting policies in its opening IFRS statement of financial position at April 1, 2010 and throughout all periods presented, as if these policies had always been in effect. Note 15 discloses the impact of the transition to IFRS on the Company’s reported financial position, financial performance and cash flows, including the nature and effect of significant changes in accounting policies from those used in the Company’s financial statement for the year ended March 31, 2011 prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP.

The Financial Statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis and were approved by the Board of Directors on July 17, 2012.

#### **Basis of Preparation and Significant Accounting Policies**

The summary of significant accounting policies used in the preparation of these financial statements are described below:

a) Principles of consolidation

The audited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned integrated subsidiaries, Redstar Gold USA Inc. and Redstar Gold (Alaska) Inc. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

b) Foreign currency translation

The presentation currency and the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar (\$). The Company’s foreign currency transactions are translated into the Canadian dollar at the rate of exchange in effect at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities are translated using period end exchange rates with any gains and losses included in the determination of operating results.

c) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include high-liquidity investments that have initial maturities of one year or less and are readily convertible to known amounts of cash without penalty. Cash equivalents are held for the purpose of meeting short-term cash commitments rather than for investment or other purposes.

d) Mineral property interests

The Company capitalizes all costs related to investments in mineral property interests on a property-by-property basis. Such costs include mineral property acquisition costs and exploration and development expenditures, net of any recoveries. The amounts shown for acquisition costs and deferred exploration expenditures represent costs incurred to date and do not necessarily reflect present or future values. Costs are deferred until such time as the extent of mineralization has been determined and the Company's mineral property interests are either developed or the mineral rights are allowed to lapse. Costs accumulated relating to projects that are abandoned are written-off in the period in which a decision to discontinue the project is made, or an impairment has occurred.

e) Share-based payments

The Company has a stock option plan that is described in note 10 to the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Share-based payments to employees are measured at the fair value of the instruments issued and are amortized over the vesting periods using a graded attribution approach. Share-based payments to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received at the fair value of the equity instruments issued (if it is determined the fair value of the goods or services cannot be reliably measured), and are recorded at the date the goods or services are received. If and when the stock options or warrants are ultimately exercised, the applicable amounts of contributed surplus are transferred to share capital

f) Income Taxes

Tax expense comprises current and deferred tax. Tax is recognized in the income statement except to the extent it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity.

Current tax: Current tax is based on the results for the period as adjusted for items that are not taxable or not deductible. Current tax is calculated using tax rates and laws that were enacted or substantively enacted at the end of the reporting period. Management periodically evaluated positions taken in tax returns with respect to situations in which applicable tax regulation is subject to interpretation. Provisions are established where appropriate on the basis of amounts expected to be paid to the tax authorities.

Deferred tax: Deferred tax is recognized, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the statement of financial position. Deferred tax is calculated using tax rates and laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the end of the reporting period, and which are expected to apply when the related deferred income tax asset is realized or the deferred income tax liability is settled.

Deferred tax liabilities: These are generally recognized for all taxable temporary differences; are recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures, except where the reversal of the temporary difference can be controlled and it is probable that the difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future; and are not recognized on temporary differences that arise from goodwill which is not deductible for tax purposes.

Deferred tax assets: These are recognized to the extent it is probable that taxable profits will be available against which the deductible temporary differences can be utilized; and, are reviewed at the end of the reporting period and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profits will be available to allow all or part of the asset to be recovered.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not recognized in respect of temporary differences that arise on initial recognition of assets and liabilities acquired other than in a business combination.

g) Earnings/(loss) per common share

Basic earnings (loss) per share are computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted earnings per share assumes the

conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion, exercise or issuance would have a dilutive effect on earnings per share. The dilutive effect of convertible securities is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the “if converted” method. The effect of potential issuances of shares from the exercise of outstanding options and warrants would be anti-dilutive for the periods presented and accordingly, basic and diluted loss per share are the same.

h) Financial Instruments

All financial instruments are classified as one of the following: fair value through profit and loss, held-to-maturity, loans and receivables, held-for-trading, available-for-sale or other financial liabilities. Financial assets and liabilities classified as held-for-trading are measured at fair value with gains and losses recognized in net income. Financial assets classified as held-to-maturity, loans and receivables, and other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. Financial instruments classified as available-for-sale are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) and reported in shareholders’ equity. Any financial instrument may be designated as held-for-trading upon initial recognition. When a decline in the fair value of an available-for-sale financial asset has been recognized in comprehensive income, and there is objective evidence that the impairment is other than temporary, the cumulative loss that had been previously recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income is removed from accumulated other comprehensive income and recognized in net income even though the financial asset has not been de-recognized.

Transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of financial instruments that are classified as other than held-for-trading, which are expensed as incurred, are included in the initial carrying value of such instruments.

The fair value of all marketable securities is determined by quoted market prices.

The Company classifies its financial instruments measured at fair value at one of three levels according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair value:

- Level 1 – quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e., as prices) or indirectly (i.e., derived from prices); and
- Level 3 – inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

These disclosures are not required when the carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of the fair value.

i) Impairment

Non-financial assets are tested for impairment when events or changes in circumstance indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. For the purpose of measuring recoverable amounts, assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (cash-generating units “CGUs”). The recoverable amount is the higher of an asset’s fair value costs to sell and value in use (being the present value of the expected future cash flows of the relevant asset or CGU). An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the asset’s carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. The Company evaluates impairment losses for potential reversals when events or circumstances warrant such consideration.

j) Critical accounting estimates and judgments

The Company makes estimates and assumptions concerning the future that will, by definition, seldom equal actual results. The following are the estimates and judgments applied by management that most significantly affect the Company’s financial statements. These estimates

and judgments have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year.

Resource properties – the carrying value of the Company’s mineral properties is reviewed by management quarterly, or whenever events or circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recovered. Management considers certain impairment indicators such as market capitalization of the Company, metal price changes, plans for the properties and the results of exploration to date.

Accrued liabilities, recognition of deferred income tax assets and assumptions used for the calculation of fair value assigned to share base payments - management of the Company makes assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty at the financial position reporting date and makes judgments given the various options available under accounting standards. These assumptions, estimates and judgments involve a degree of uncertainty and could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities, in the event that actual events differ from assumptions, estimates and judgments made, including the determination of categories in which they are classified and the impairment and recoverability of items, and the calculations of fair value.

k) Future accounting changes

Unless otherwise noted, the following revised standards and amendments are effective for the Company for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2012 (unless otherwise noted) with earlier application permitted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of these standards and amendments or determined whether it will early adopt them.

- i. IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* (“IFRS 9”) was issued in November 2009 and contained requirements for financial assets. This standard addressed classification and measurement of financial assets and replaces multiple category and measurement models in IAS39 *Financial Instruments – Recognition and Measurement* for debt instruments with a new mixed measurement model having only two categories: amortized cost and value. IFRS 9 also replaces the models for measuring equity instruments, and such investments are either recognized at fair value or at fair value through other comprehensive income. Where such equity instruments are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, dividends are recognized in profit or loss to the extent not clearly representing a return of investment; however, other gains and losses (including impairments) associated with such instruments remain in accumulated comprehensive income indefinitely. Requirements for financial liabilities were added in October 2010 and they largely carried forward existing requirements in IAS 39, except that fair value changes due to credit risk for liabilities designated at FVTPL would generally be recorded in other comprehensive income. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting this standard on its financial statements.
- ii. IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements* was issued in May 2011 to replace IAS 27: *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements* and SIC 12: *Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities*. The new consolidation standard changes the definition of control so that the same criteria apply to all entities, both operating and special purpose entities, to determine control. The revised definition focuses on the need to have both power and variable return before control is present. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting this standard on its financial statements.
- iii. IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* was issued in May 2011 to replace IAS 31: *Interest in Joint Ventures*. The new standard defines two types of arrangements: Joint Operations and Joint Ventures. Focus is on the rights and obligations of the parties involved to reflect the joint arrangement, thereby requiring parties to recognize the individual assets and liabilities to which they have rights or for which they are responsible, even if the joint

venture arrangement operates in a separate legal entity. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting this standard on its financial statements.

- iv. IFRS 12 *Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities* was issued in May 2011 to create a comprehensive disclosure standard to address the requirement for subsidiaries, joint arrangement and associates including the reporting entity’s involvement with other entities. It also includes the requirements for unconsolidated structured entities (i.e. special purpose entities). This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting this standard on its financial statements.
- v. IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement* (“IFRS 13”) was issued in May 2011 and establishes a single framework for measuring fair value where it is required by other standards. IFRS 13 applies to all transactions (whether financial or non-financial) for which IFRS requires or permits fair value measurements, with the exception of share-based payment transactions accounted for under IFRS 2 Share-based Payments and leasing transactions within the scope of IAS 17 Leases, and measurements that have some similarities to fair value but are not fair value such as net realizable value under IAS 2 *Inventories* or value in use under IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e., and exit price).
- vi. IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, has been amended to require entities to separate items presented in OCI into two groups, based on whether or not items may be recycled in the future. Entities that choose to present OCI items before tax will be required to show the amount of tax related to the two groups separately.

### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Current securities policies in Canada require that management of the Company certifies that it has assessed the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures at period ends. Management has concluded that the disclosure controls as at March 31, 2012 were effective in ensuring that all material information required to be filed has been provided to it in a timely manner, and that the information was recorded, processed and reported within the time period necessary to prepare the filings.

### **Risks Related to the Company’s Business**

#### **Overview**

Resource exploration is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk. There is a significant probability that the expenditures made by the Company in exploring its properties will not result in discoveries of commercial quantities of minerals. A high level of ongoing expenditures is required to locate and estimate ore reserves, which are the basis for further development of a property. Capital expenditures to attain commercial production stage are also very substantial. The following sets out the principal risks faced by the Company.

**Exploration.** The Company is seeking mineral deposits on exploration projects where there are not yet established commercial quantities. There can be no assurance that economic concentrations of minerals will be determined to exist on the Company’s property holdings within existing investors’ investment horizons or at all. The failure to establish such economic concentrations could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities. The Company’s planned programs and budgets for exploration work are subject to revision at any time to take into account results to date. The revision, reduction or curtailment of exploration programs and budgets could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

**Market.** The Company’s securities trade on public markets and the trading value thereof is determined by the evaluations, perceptions and sentiments of both individual investors and the investment community taken as a whole. Such evaluations, perceptions and sentiments are subject to change,

both in short term time horizons and longer term time horizons. An adverse change in investor evaluations, perceptions and sentiments could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

**Commodity price.** The Company's exploration projects are primarily related to exploration for gold and other precious metals in Canada and the USA. While these minerals have recently been the subject of significant price increases from levels prevalent earlier in the decade, there can be no assurance that such price levels will continue, or that investors' evaluations, perceptions, beliefs and sentiments will continue to favour these target commodities. An adverse change in these commodities' prices, or in investors' beliefs about trends in those prices, could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

**Title** Although the Company has exercised the usual due diligence with respect to title to properties in which it has interests, there is no guarantee that title to the properties will not be challenged or impugned. The Company's mineral property interests may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or land claims, and title may be affected by undetected defects. In addition, certain of the mining claims in which the Company has an interest are not recorded in the name of the Company and cannot be recorded until certain steps are taken by other parties. Before a number of claims under option can be recorded in the Company's name, the underlying title holder has to assign title to the Company once the Company satisfies its option agreement obligations. There are no assurances that the underlying title holder will assign title.

**Aboriginal land claims.** Canadian and US Aboriginal rights may be claimed on properties or other types of tenure with respect to which mining rights have been conferred. The Company is aware of the mutual benefits afforded by cooperative relationships with indigenous people in conducting exploration activity and is generally supportive of measures established to achieve such cooperation. The risk of unforeseen aboriginal title claims also could affect existing exploration activities as well as potential development projects and possible future acquisitions and transfer of properties. While there is, to the Company's knowledge, no existing claim in respect of any of its properties, the advent of any future aboriginal land claims and the outcome of any aboriginal land claims negotiations cannot be predicted.

**Financing.** Exploration and development of mineral deposits is an expensive process, and frequently the greater the level of interim stage success the more expensive it can become. The Company has no producing properties and generates no operating revenues; therefore, for the foreseeable future, it will be dependent upon selling equity in the capital markets to provide financing for its continuing substantial exploration budgets. While the Company has been successful in obtaining financing from the capital markets for its projects in recent years, there can be no assurance that the capital markets will remain favourable in the future, and/or that the Company will be able to raise the financing needed to continue its exploration programs on favourable terms, or at all. Restrictions on the Company's ability to finance could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

**Share Price Volatility and Price Fluctuations.** In recent years, the securities markets in Canada have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market prices of securities of many companies, particularly junior mineral exploration companies like the Company, have experienced wide fluctuations which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that these price fluctuations and volatility will not continue to occur.

**Key personnel.** The Company's exploration efforts are dependent to a large degree on the skills and experience of certain of its key personnel. The Company does not maintain "key man" insurance policies on these individuals. Should the availability of these persons' skills and experience be in any way reduced or curtailed, this could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

**Competition.** Significant and increasing competition exists for the limited number of mineral property acquisition opportunities available. As a result of this competition, some of which is with large established mining companies with substantial capabilities and greater financial and technical

resources than the Company, the Company may be unable to acquire additional attractive mineral properties on terms it considers acceptable.

**Foreign Countries and Regulatory Requirements.** Currently, the Company's only non-Canadian properties are located in the United States. Consequently, the Company is subject to certain risks associated with foreign ownership, including currency fluctuations, inflation, and political risk. Mineral exploration and mining activities and production activities in foreign countries may be affected in varying degrees by political stability and government regulations relating to the mining industry. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political conditions are beyond the control of the Company and may adversely affect its business. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to community rights, restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, restriction of earnings, taxation laws, expropriation of property, environmental legislation, water use, labour standards and workplace safety. The Company maintains the majority of its funds in Canada and only forwards sufficient funds to meet current obligations.

**Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements.** The current or future operations of the Company, including development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various governmental authorities and such operations are and will be subject to laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that approvals and permits required to commence production on its properties will be obtained on a timely basis, or at all. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, may be necessary prior to operation of the properties in which the Company has interests and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at these properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or extraction operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of such activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or abandonment or delays in development of new mineral exploration properties.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, it is currently operating in compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.

**History of Net Losses; Accumulated Deficit; Lack of Revenue from Operations.** The Company has incurred net losses to date. Its deficit as of March 31, 2012 was \$12,663,997 (March 31, 2011: \$10,813,911). The Company has not yet had any revenue from the exploration activities on its properties, nor has the Company yet determined that commercial development is warranted on any of its properties. Even if the Company commences development of certain of its properties, the Company may continue to incur losses. There is no certainty that the Company will produce revenue, operate profitably or provide a return on investment in the future.

**Uninsurable.** The Company and its subsidiaries may become subject to liability for pollution, fire, explosion and other risks against which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure. Such events could result in substantial damage to property and personal injury. The payment of any such liabilities may have a material, adverse effect on the Company's financial position.

**Legal proceedings.** As at March 31, 2012 and the date of this document, there were no legal proceedings against or by the Company.

**Other MD&A Disclosure Requirements**

**Information available on SEDAR**

As specified by National Instrument 51-102, Catalina advises readers of this MD&A that important additional information about the Company is available on the SEDAR website <http://www.sedar.com>.

**Subsequent events**

Subsequent to March 31, 2012:

- a total of 100,000 common shares in the capital of the Company were issued in connection with the exercise of incentive stock options, for gross proceeds of \$25,000
- options allowing for the purchase of up to 525,000 common shares in the capital of the Company at \$0.25 per share expired on May 4, 2012
- incentive stock options allowing for the purchase of up to 2,750,000 common shares in the capital of the Company at \$0.29 per share until May 18, 2017 were granted.

**Disclosure by venture issuer without significant revenue**

An analysis of the material components of the Company’s general and administrative expenses is disclosed in the financial statements to which this MD&A relates. An analysis of the material components of the acquisition and deferred exploration costs of the Company's mineral properties is disclosed in Note 6 to the financial statements.

**Outstanding Share Data**

Common shares, stock options and share purchase warrants issued and outstanding as at the quarter end are described in detail in Note 10 to the financial statements dated March 31, 2012, which as of July 17, 2012 are as follows:

Common shares	67,419,215
Stock options	7,360,000
Warrants	7,158,042
Fully diluted	81,937,257

On Behalf of the Board,  
**REDSTAR GOLD CORP.**

*“Scott Weekes”*  
 Scott Weekes, President